1

VLSI / SOC Testing

Lecture 14

- 1. Sequential Circuit Test Set Compaction
 - Motivation: reduce test application time and test data volume circuit
 - Problem: unlike combinational test set compaction, removing a vector in $T = \{t_0, t_1, ..., t_n\}$, the sequence is disrupted
- 2. Terminology: S_k/S_k^f = the fault-free/faulty state at time frame k for fault f
- 3. Compaction by insertion
 - If $S_k/S_k^f \equiv S_m/S_m^f$, where m > k, then what would inserting the subsequence starting from m at k cause?

- 4. Compaction by omission
 - Associate each vector with a flag omitted

```
initialize omitted[i] = 0 \forall i
for i = 0 to n
set omitted[i] = 1;
faultsim vectors in T whose omitted flag = 0;
if FC the same or higher, vector i is omitted;
else reset omitted[i] = 0;
```

• This loop can be repeated, and with a different order (i.e., n downto 0)

- 5. Compaction by selection
 - \bullet for each detected fault f, compute the subsequence that can detect f
 - then, compute the compact set using covering algorithm (note, subsequences can overlap)

- 6. The 3 previous techniques computationally expensive
- 7. Compaction by subsequence removal
 - Motivation: test sets generally traverse through a small set of states, thus many states are repeated
 - \longrightarrow Can we remove subsequences that start and end on the same state?
- 8. Inert subsequence removal: an inert subsequence is one where start and end states are the same, and there are no faults detected within the subsequence

Example 1:

- 9. Compaction by state-recurrence subsequence removal
 - a state-recurrence subsequence is one that start and end on the same state, but some faults may be detected within

3

- Algorithm:
 - step 1a: compute state recurrence subsequences for test set T
 - step 1b: compute excitation and detection points for each fault
 - step 1c: identify all faults, F_{sr} detected within such subsequences
 - step 2: for all faults in F_{sr} , perform faultsim without fault-dropping
 - step 3: analysis phase: if in a state-rec subsequence, all faults detected within are also detected elsewhere, and no other faults excited within and propagated beyond the subsequence, <u>then</u> this subsequence may be removed

- 10. Combining inert and state-recurrence subseq removal
 - First remove inert subsequences
 - Next, perform rec. subseq removal
- 11. Relaxed subseq. removal
 - Motivation: not every state variable needed to reach the next state

12. State relaxation for test set T

Example 2:

- 13. Reducing cost of compaction (can be applied to any compaction algorithm)
 - Fault partitioning: compact w.r.t. only hard-faults, since easy faults may be detected by vectors and sequences that detect hard faults

 → only 10% faults need to be targeted

14. Compaction by vector restoration

- step 1: compute detection time for each fault (with fault dropping)

 → each fault has one unique detection point
- step 2: for each fault (starting with the last detected fault), restore it
- procedure for restoring a fault involves repetitive fault simulation

```
i = \operatorname{detTime} \text{ for fault } f
T_f = [t_i]
repeat

if (\operatorname{faultsim}(T_f) \operatorname{detects} f) \to \operatorname{done}
else T_f = [t_{i-1}, T_f]
until f detected
```

• worst case: no vector is omitted (generally this is not the case)

Example 3:

- 15. Using compaction for helping ATPG
 - Motivation: compaction eliminates unnecessary vectors, thereby leaving only good ones
 - \mapsto inherent information embedded within compacted test set
- 16. Simple approach: extract weights from compacted test set

- 17. Observations for the simple approach
 - Most weights between 0.4 and 0.6
- 18. Approach #2: vector copying and holding
 - compacted vectors are there for a reason. Copy them exploits spatial locality
 - holding vectors exploits temporal locality. Observation tells us that holding useful vectors several clocks helps traverse state space deeper

- 19. Approach #3: correlation-based
 - instead of computing weights, compute spatial and temporal correlation within the compacted test set
 - generate additional vectors using these correlation

20. Approach #4: Spectrum-based

- Analyze spectrum of compacted test set
- Since compaction removes unwanted/unneeded vectors, it *filters* the noise out
- What frequency components does each PI bit-stream have in the compacted test set?
- Issue: how to analyze spectrum?